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Peel Newcomer Strategy Group

Peel Newcomer Strategy Group (PNSG) is the local immigration partnership for Peel Region, serving the City of Brampton, the Town of Caledon and the City of Mississauga. As a community collaborative, PNSG engages local service providers and stakeholders to optimize and coordinate services that facilitate newcomer settlement and integration – through partnerships, research, community-based knowledge sharing and strategic planning.

PNSG is a project of the United Way of Greater Toronto (UWGT) and is funded by:
Glossary

**Emigrant**
An immigrant who lived in Peel and is now residing outside of Peel.

**GTA**
“Greater Toronto Area,” which includes the Regional Municipality of Halton, Peel Region, the City of Toronto, the Regional Municipality of York and the Regional Municipality of Durham.

**IMDB** or **Longitudinal Immigration Database**
A Statistics Canada product that combines immigrant landing information with Canada Revenue Agency tax-filer data, providing insights about immigrants’ mobility and labour market participation.

**Immigrant**
A person born outside of Canada who is a permanent resident.

**Newcomer**
Any permanent foreign-born resident who arrived in Canada at any time over the past five years. This report focuses on the most recent census period (2011-2016), so the term generally refers to immigrants who settled in Canada during this timeframe.

**Primary migrant**
An immigrant who settles directly in Peel after arriving in Canada.

**Secondary migrant**
An immigrant who arrived to Canada and initially settled outside of Peel, but is now residing in Peel.
Peel is a large and vibrant regional municipality comprising Brampton, Caledon and Mississauga. A major settlement destination for newcomers to Canada, Peel is also an immigrant-majority population. According to 2016 census data, immigrants account for 51.5 percent of Peel’s population of 1.37 million residents. Among them, 94,105 are newcomers who arrived to Canada during the 2011-2016 census period. This means that over this five-year period, Peel welcomed an average of 18,821 newcomers annually.

Peel stakeholders are keenly aware of the newcomer and immigrant composition of the population. Many service-providing organizations participate on community committees that feature frequent discussions about topics facing our newcomer population as well as our collective ability to address these issues. This discourse is vital given that the needs of newcomers can be numerous and complex, requiring the expertise and participation of multiple service-providing organizations to support newcomers effectively and holistically.

While Canadians tend to have a positive view overall towards immigrants, recent media attention has tended to focus on narrow aspects of a much broader immigration program aimed at driving population and labour force growth amid a persistently low national birth rate and impending baby-boomer retirements. This may be contributing to an increasingly, but disproportionately, contentious discourse about immigrants.

We believe that discussions about the settlement and integration of newcomers should be grounded in qualitative and quantitative evidence to guide policy decisions and service design. Canada’s federal government plans to boost the volume of immigrant admissions in the coming years, and this will have an impact in Peel. In 2016, Canada admitted 296,346 permanent residents, and Peel settled about 6.4 percent of this total. If this proportion holds, by 2021 when the annual admission level is expected to rise to 350,000, Peel can expect to settle about 22,200 newcomers each year, or about 3,500 more than it did in 2016.
How can this report help? In 2018, PNSG conducted two community consultations with local stakeholders, as well as an online survey of local settlement service providers. We also commissioned two pieces of research: a longitudinal immigration database study examining the inward and outward flows of Peel immigrants and their participation in the labour market; as well as a novel study of informal (i.e., non-service provider) settlement supports. Collectively, the data, insights and recommendations gathered across these sources contribute to an updated and multi-faceted picture of newcomers in Peel.

This report is the compilation of this data-gathering. It paints a broad picture of newcomer settlement in Peel and suggests how these findings should influence local settlement and integration efforts for greater efficacy and impact.

It also surfaces insights and questions at an interesting time in our immigration discourse, not the least of which: Are we having the right conversations about newcomers and immigrants?
We hope this report will

- Strengthen the ability of local stakeholders to address current issues facing newcomers and immigrants in their planning
- Inform policy and program development, as well as new research opportunities
- Promote more effective coordination among local stakeholders to address newcomers’ settlement priorities
- Paint a wider picture of newcomer settlement and integration from the lens of formal and informal systems, which can drive a more cohesive effort to engage all newcomers in Peel
- Promote a more evidence-based discussion about newcomers and immigrants
Key findings

The flows of immigrants into and out of Peel are dynamic, challenging any notion that Peel is solely an immigrant-receiving region:

• Secondary migration is a stronger driver of immigrant inflow than primary migration, and this rate is increasing

• A large number of immigrants leave Peel annually, and this number is increasing

• Immigrant flows are largely centred around the GTA, including Hamilton

While some economic outcomes for newcomers in Peel show promise, there are important disparities still to address:

• In Peel, Canadian-born residents persistently earn more than immigrants

• Despite lower median incomes, immigrants in Peel are less likely to rely on social assistance income than Canadian-born residents

• Peel immigrants reach median income parity with other immigrants and Canadian-born residents at varying rates depending on education, admission category and their ability to speak both official languages

• While immigrant unemployment rates are falling in the GTA, newcomers and immigrants in Peel continue to be under-employed disproportionately despite having high levels of education
Newcomers require more effective formal and informal settlement supports:

- Formal settlement services need to evolve to better address a wider spectrum of newcomer priorities.

- Service providers can benefit from increased support to facilitate cross-sector partnerships, service navigation and client referrals, as well as the ability to measure impact.

- While a majority of newcomers rely on informal supports to facilitate their integration into the community, many newcomers recommend the assistance of formal, government-funded settlement services.
### Immigrants and newcomers compared to total population by Canadian municipality (2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peel</td>
<td>1,372,640</td>
<td>706,835</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
<td>94,105</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>18,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caledon</td>
<td>66,215</td>
<td>16,310</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brampton</td>
<td>590,950</td>
<td>308,790</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
<td>39,915</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>7,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississauga</td>
<td>715,475</td>
<td>381,730</td>
<td>53.4%</td>
<td>53,410</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>10,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTA</td>
<td>6,345,725</td>
<td>2,799,115</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
<td>362,260</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>72,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toronto</td>
<td>2,691,665</td>
<td>1,266,005</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
<td>187,950</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>37,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York</td>
<td>1,100,950</td>
<td>515,225</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
<td>51,405</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>10,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halton</td>
<td>540,980</td>
<td>160,165</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>20,485</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>4,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham</td>
<td>639,490</td>
<td>150,885</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>10,315</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>2,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windsor</td>
<td>329,144</td>
<td>74,495</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td>9,265</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>1,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London</td>
<td>494,069</td>
<td>94,690</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>11,960</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2,392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterloo</td>
<td>535,154</td>
<td>119,335</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>14,045</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton</td>
<td>747,545</td>
<td>177,070</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>17,420</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>3,484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingston</td>
<td>161,175</td>
<td>18,400</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>1,720</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ottawa</td>
<td>934,243</td>
<td>216,505</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>30,075</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>6,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancouver</td>
<td>2,463,431</td>
<td>989,540</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
<td>142,535</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>28,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonton</td>
<td>932,546</td>
<td>274,360</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>71,555</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>14,311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calgary</td>
<td>1,239,220</td>
<td>383,065</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>89,665</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>17,933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winnipeg</td>
<td>705,244</td>
<td>176,155</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>52,020</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>10,404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montreal</td>
<td>1,704,694</td>
<td>570,940</td>
<td>33.5%</td>
<td>128,460</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>25,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halifax</td>
<td>403,131</td>
<td>37,200</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>9,425</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1,885</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Canada 2016 data

CMA (census metropolitan area) figures provided for Windsor, London, Hamilton and Kingston, as well as Vancouver. Regional municipality figures provided for Waterloo and Halifax. City municipality figures provided for Calgary, Edmonton, Winnipeg and Montreal.
In 2016, the Canadian population was 34,460,065. The national proportion of those born outside of Canada was 21.9 percent (or 7,540,830) of this total. Newcomers – who arrived during the 2011-2016 census period – comprised 3.5 percent (or 1,212,075) of the national population.

The Greater Toronto Area (GTA) settled 29.9 percent (or 362,260) of all newcomers who arrived to Canada during this timeframe. Peel welcomed 26 percent (or 94,105) of all newcomers who chose to settle in the GTA.

Together, Peel’s three municipalities would comprise the fourth-largest recipient community of newcomers on an annual basis after Toronto, Vancouver and Montreal, and slightly ahead of Calgary.

Peel’s long experience in welcoming newcomers has made it the only immigrant-majority region in the GTA, according to census 2016 data. Peel is comprised 51.5 percent of immigrants with Toronto and York not far behind. Thanks to the GTA’s popularity with newcomers, these rates far outstrip those in other major Canadian cities as well as municipalities across Ontario.

**Immigrants as a proportion of total population in GTA regions (2016)**

Source: Peel Data Centre based on Statistics Canada, Census of Canada 2016 data.
Most of Peel’s newcomer population is centred in its urban municipalities: Brampton and Mississauga. Peel welcomes more than 50 newcomers on a daily basis – about 29 to Mississauga and 22 to Brampton.

Page 11 features a density distribution of Peel newcomers during the 2011-2016 census period with each dot representing 20 individuals. Page 12 organizes this distribution according to census tract. Both show that notable concentrations of newcomer settlement occur in:

- Southwest Brampton
- The Bramalea City Centre corridor in Brampton
- Churchill Meadows in Mississauga
- Malton in Mississauga
- Mississauga City Centre/Square One in Mississauga
- Cooksville in Mississauga

While each regional and local municipality in the GTA showed population increases in the five-year periods leading up to 2011 and 2016, Brampton and Caledon showed considerably higher rates of total population growth, while Mississauga’s population grew more modestly.

Fewer newcomers settled in Peel during the 2011-2016 census period than in 2006-2011, and newcomers comprised a smaller proportion of the total population. Among Peel’s three municipalities, Caledon was the exception; it welcomed more newcomers in 2011-2016 than it did in 2006-2011.
Change in proportion of newcomer population by GTA municipality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUNICIPALITY</th>
<th>TOTAL POPULATION</th>
<th>NEWCOMERS</th>
<th>NEWCOMERS AS A % OF TOTAL POPULATION</th>
<th>TOTAL POPULATION</th>
<th>NEWCOMERS</th>
<th>NEWCOMERS AS A % OF TOTAL POPULATION</th>
<th>5-YEAR GROWTH RATE IN TOTAL POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peel</td>
<td>1,289,010</td>
<td>100,910</td>
<td>7.83%</td>
<td>1,372,640</td>
<td>94,105</td>
<td>6.86%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caledon</td>
<td>58,975</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>0.69%</td>
<td>66,215</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>1.18%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brampton</td>
<td>521,315</td>
<td>40,700</td>
<td>7.81%</td>
<td>590,950</td>
<td>39,915</td>
<td>6.75%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississauga</td>
<td>708,725</td>
<td>59,795</td>
<td>8.44%</td>
<td>715,475</td>
<td>53,410</td>
<td>7.46%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toronto</td>
<td>2,576,025</td>
<td>216,525</td>
<td>8.40%</td>
<td>2,691,665</td>
<td>187,950</td>
<td>6.98%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halton</td>
<td>495,445</td>
<td>14,575</td>
<td>2.94%</td>
<td>540,980</td>
<td>20,485</td>
<td>3.79%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York</td>
<td>1,024,225</td>
<td>47,725</td>
<td>4.66%</td>
<td>1,100,950</td>
<td>51,405</td>
<td>4.67%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham</td>
<td>401,605</td>
<td>8,950</td>
<td>1.49%</td>
<td>639,490</td>
<td>10,315</td>
<td>1.61%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTA</td>
<td>5,986,310</td>
<td>388,685</td>
<td>6.49%</td>
<td>6,345,725</td>
<td>364,260</td>
<td>5.74%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Among GTA municipalities, Halton and Durham saw boosts in newcomers as a proportion of their respective total populations from one census period to the next. York saw a marginal increase, while Toronto and Peel saw declines in this respect. Regardless, GTA municipalities overall continued to welcome high numbers of newcomers that rival similarly-sized municipalities elsewhere in Ontario and Canada. What’s more, Peel boasts the highest percentage of visible minorities in the GTA:

Percentage of visible minorities in the GTA (2016)

Source: Peel Data Centre based on Statistics Canada, Census of Canada 2016 data.
Distribution of newcomers settling in Peel (2016)

Recent Immigrants in Peel by Dissemination Area

Legend

1 Dot = 20 persons

Source: Peel Data Centre based on Statistics Canada, Census of Canada 2016 data.
Newcomers as a proportion of total population by census tract (2016)

Source: Peel Data Centre based on Statistics Canada, Census of Canada 2016 data.
The story of Peel immigration is often dominated by a South Asian narrative, and while India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka figure prominently as countries of origin for Peel's immigrant population, there is considerable representation among other countries across all Peel municipalities.

### Immigrants by Admission Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economic, skilled worker</td>
<td>52.5%</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsored family-class</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>62.9%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refugees</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>n / a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Peel Data Centre (2017, Oct) 2016 Census Bulletin: Immigration & Ethnic Diversity; Statistics Canada, Census of Canada 2016 data; IMDB accessed at University of Western Ontario RDC.
Canada maintains a largely economic-oriented immigration program, with more than 50 percent of newcomers admitted between 1980 and 2016 under the skilled-worker stream. A smaller proportion was admitted under the sponsored family-class stream (31 percent) and fewer still comprised of refugees (15 percent).

Over this same time period, Peel settled slightly lower proportions of skilled-workers (47.6 percent) and refugees (12.5 percent) while welcoming a larger proportion of sponsored family-class immigrants (38.9 percent). Given the human-capital basis of Canada’s immigration program that emphasizes education, skills and work experience (as well as age and language), this higher rate of family reunification in Peel may enhance the retention of both economic and family-class newcomers settling in the region.

When we look at tax-filer data of primary migrants settling in Peel (i.e., filing their first tax return in Peel after arriving in Canada), we see even broader variations. For example, refugees accounted for fewer first-time tax-filers than the Peel or national proportions in 1994, 2004 and 2014. In addition, the relative proportion of economic and family-class migrant categories appears to flip when comparing 1994, 2004 and 2014 direct migration and tax-filer data, demonstrating considerable variability in the character of the newest foreign arrivals in Peel from one year to another.
Newcomers in Peel by age group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>4,590</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>3,550</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-14</td>
<td>24,255</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>17,545</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>18,850</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>11,185</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>27,520</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>25,390</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>24,175</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>18,525</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>12,025</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>8,005</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>6,635</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>5,995</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>3,645</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>5,290</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-84</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>1,940</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Peel Data Centre based on Statistics Canada census 2016 data.

When one compares the five-year period preceding the 2006 and 2016 census periods, there are two notable trends. The most prominent is the arrival of higher proportions and numbers of newcomers aged 65+ in 2011-2016, despite a 20 percent decrease in the total number of newcomers from the 2006 census period to 2016.

What’s more, the proportion of younger adults (aged 25-34) is noticeably higher in 2016 (27 percent) than it was in 2006 (over 23 percent). Given the high proportions of skilled-worker immigration to Peel, this trend bodes well for the local workforce.

RELATED RESOURCES

Peel Data Centre publishes population summaries that are available online at http://www.peelregion.ca/planning/pdc/data/bulletins-brochures.htm
Newcomers in Peel by education level (aged 15+)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION ATTAINED</th>
<th>% OF PEEL NEWCOMERS 2011-2016</th>
<th>% OF TOTAL PEEL POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduated high school</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade / community college</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University graduate</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-graduate</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consistent with national statistics that show that newcomers possess higher levels of education than the Canadian-born population, newcomers in Peel also present with more university and graduate-level education. What’s interesting is that while Peel tends to attract a smaller proportion of economic skill-worker newcomers than the national average, the region features a higher proportion of post-graduate newcomers (18.1 percent) than the national average (16.7 percent).

Percentage of Canadian population with selected degrees (2016, aged 25-64)

- **Recent Immigrants:** Bachelor’s degree or higher (52.1%)
- **All Immigrants:** Bachelor’s degree or higher (39.5%)
- **Canadian-Born Population:** Bachelor’s degree or higher (24.0%)

Source: Peel Data Centre based on Statistics Canada, Census of Canada 2016 data.

1. The flows of immigrants into and out of Peel are dynamic

According to census 2016 data, 94,105 newcomers settled in Peel between 2011 and 2016. While this may imply a one-way inflow of newcomer settlement, the reality is more dynamic, **challenging any notion that Peel is solely an immigrant-receiving region**. There are, in fact, three flows of immigrants:

1. Newcomers who settle in Peel after arriving in Canada (direct migrants)
2. Immigrants who settle in Peel after residing elsewhere in Canada (secondary migrants)
3. Immigrants who leave Peel to settle elsewhere in Canada (emigrants)

**Longitudinal Look at Direct Migration, Secondary Migration and Emigration (Out-Migration) – Peel**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>DIRECT MIGRATION</th>
<th>SECONDARY MIGRATION</th>
<th>EMIGRATION (OUT-MIGRATION)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>8,555</td>
<td>7,660</td>
<td>5,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>13,690</td>
<td>20,825</td>
<td>12,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>9,520</td>
<td>17,900</td>
<td>17,110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IMDB accessed at University of Western Ontario RDC.

A longitudinal look at these flows comparing 1994, 2004 and 2014 reveal a number of interesting trends:

1. Over time, secondary migration accounts for a greater volume of immigrant inflow than direct migration. While direct migration contributed a marginally larger inflow of immigrants to Peel in 1994, secondary migration contributed 52 percent more immigrants than direct migrants by 2004. What’s more, this rate is increasing - by 2014, there are 88 percent more secondary than direct migrants settling in Peel.

2. Thousands of immigrants leave Peel annually. And, this number appears to be increasing over time: from 5,090 in 1994, 12,260 in 2004 to 17,110 by 2014.

3. By 2014, a similar number of immigrants are leaving Peel (17,110) as those settling in Peel as secondary migrants (17,900), both of which far outstrip those choosing to settle directly in Peel after arriving in Canada (9,520).
An overwhelming majority of secondary migrants to Peel in 2014 – about 87 percent – come from elsewhere in Ontario:

Secondary migration to Peel by province (2014)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Brampton</th>
<th>Caledon</th>
<th>Mississauga</th>
<th>Total Peel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NU</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>7,260</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>7,920</td>
<td>15,535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IMDB accessed at University of Western Ontario RDC

A similar number of immigrants leave Peel for other Ontario communities (14,790) as those settling into Peel from elsewhere in the province (15,535). Interestingly, there is a higher number of immigrants who, in 2014, came to Peel from Quebec (640) than those choosing to leave Peel for Quebec (155) that same year:

Emigration from Peel by province (2014)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Brampton</th>
<th>Caledon</th>
<th>Mississauga</th>
<th>Total Peel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NU</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>4,965</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>9,590</td>
<td>14,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IMDB accessed at University of Western Ontario RDC
A deeper look reveals that an overwhelming majority of secondary migrants in 2014 who originated in Ontario tended to come from other GTA regions before moving to Peel:

**Secondary migration - Peel & GTA regions (2014)**

| Source: IMDB accessed at University of Western Ontario RDC |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRAMPTON</th>
<th>CALEDON</th>
<th>MISSISSAUGA</th>
<th>TOTAL PEEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toronto</td>
<td>5,010</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>4,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halton</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The GTA, including Hamilton, accounted for 13,710 secondary migrants to Peel, representing more than 88 percent of all secondary migrants in Ontario who moved to Peel in 2014. The City of Toronto is responsible for most of this GTA secondary migration into Peel - about 72 percent of all secondary migrants from the GTA, followed by Halton (12 percent) and York (10 percent).

Emigration patterns for 2014 show a similar trend – that most emigrants are choosing to settle in other GTA regions. Among the 14,790 emigrants who left Peel in 2014 for elsewhere in Ontario, fully 11,585 (or 78 percent) settled in Toronto, Halton, York, Durham or Hamilton. 45 percent of this total moved east to Toronto from Peel, while 33 percent moved west to Halton:

**Emigration (out-migration) - Peel & GTA regions (2014)**

| Source: IMDB accessed at University of Western Ontario RDC |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRAMPTON</th>
<th>CALEDON</th>
<th>MISSISSAUGA</th>
<th>TOTAL PEEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toronto</td>
<td>1,875</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halton</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durham</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In 2014, the two-way transfer of immigrants across the GTA into and out of Peel translated into:

- A net increase of 4,625 immigrants from Toronto
- A net increase of 390 immigrants from York
- A net decrease of 2,565 immigrants to Halton
- A net decrease of 200 immigrants to Hamilton
- A marginal decrease of 25 immigrants to Durham

Notes

- The IMDB does not disaggregate the newcomer component (who arrived within the past five years) of secondary migration data. Secondary migration data tracks those who have a landing record and filed taxes in Canada outside of Peel before residing in Peel, but not the number of years they have been in Canada, nor the residency status they had when they first arrived in Canada.

- The study did not examine the reasons why direct and secondary migrants choose Peel, nor did it investigate the reasons why immigrants choose to leave Peel. This may inform future research opportunities.
2. While some economic outcomes for newcomers in Peel show promise, there are important disparities still to address

Comparing Canadian-born and immigrant income levels

By combining landing records and tax-filer data, the IMDB makes it possible to compare the income levels and sources of income among Canadian-born and immigrant residents over time to reveal differences between these groups.

Over the 11-year period between 2005 and 2015, we see a number of key distinctions and insights:

1. Canadian-born residents in Peel consistently earn more total income (from investments, employment and social assistance) than immigrants in Peel. During this period, immigrants made almost 76 cents for every dollar of total income earned by a Canadian-born person in Peel.

2. When you examine only earned employment income over this same period, immigrants made more than 85 cents for every dollar earned by a Canadian-born resident in Peel.

3. Immigrants in Peel received 16 cents in social assistance for every dollar that a Canadian-born resident declared in social assistance income, according to median income levels from tax-filer data.

MEDIAN TOTAL INCOME IN PEEL
(CONSTANT 2016 CAD - ROUNDED TO NEAREST $100)
The distinctions in total, employment and social assistance income are notable given that immigrants comprise a majority (51.5 percent) of the Peel population and possess higher levels of education than the Peel average.
Income parity

A newcomer’s social and economic integration typically takes time as one acclimates to their new community, and one can expect a newcomer’s income to increase gradually as they integrate into our local society and workforce.

Median income data is useful in determining how long it takes a newcomer in Peel to achieve parity with other immigrants or Canadian-born residents as measures of economic integration.

The IMDB shows that newcomers to Peel reach median income parity at different rates depending on education, admission category and language ability:

1. The average employment income median from 2005 to 2015 for Canadian-born residents in Peel is $37,436 and $32,045 for immigrants. If we consider these as benchmarks and compare these levels against employment income medians for newcomers by year of landing, we see that:

   • Newcomers who arrived to Canada in 2004, 2005 and 2006 gradually earn more employment income the longer they are in Canada.

   • Newcomers who arrived to Canada in 2004 and settled in Peel achieve income parity with other immigrants ($32,045) by their tenth year in Canada (between years 9 and 10). However, those arriving in 2005 and 2006 do not achieve similar parity during this same amount of time, suggesting that it may take longer for relatively more recent newcomers to earn similar levels of income.

   • Employment income medians for newcomers arriving in 2004, 2005 or 2006 do not reach parity with Canadian-born residents in Peel within 10-11 years.
Median income data masks wide variation of lived-experience. While some newcomers do establish themselves economically in their new community, many others do not and, as a group, they are disproportionately disadvantaged.

According to research by United Way, 52 percent of Peel neighbourhoods are now considered low income, and these are areas where a higher proportion of newcomers settle:

Peel Poverty Reduction Strategy also notes that 16 percent of racialized communities live in poverty:
2. When one examines the effect that education level, specifically university and college, has on immigrant income medians, one finds that the more education a newcomer possesses, the faster the newcomer achieves income parity:

- Newcomers who arrived to Canada in 2004 with at least a bachelor degree or higher reach income parity with other immigrants by their fourth full year in Canada. What’s more, they achieve income parity with Canadian-born residents in Peel by their fifth or sixth full year in Canada.

- Newcomers who arrived to Canada with college or trades education reach income parity with other immigrants by their sixth full year in Canada and with Canadian-born residents by their tenth year.

- Newcomers with at least a bachelor degree who arrived in 2005 and 2006 are taking at least a year or two longer than those who arrived in 2004 to reach income parity with their immigrant and Canadian-born counterparts.

- Newcomers with secondary education (these were not graphed) earned far less income on average than those with some post-secondary education, and they do not achieve income parity with their immigrant or Canadian-born counterparts within their first ten years in Canada.

Notes

- We have chosen to use immigrant income data from 2004, 2005 and 2006 since these provide a minimum of ten years of income tracking, a timeframe within which income parity may be achieved.

- Tracking immigrant income before 2004 might be useful in determining whether it is becoming more difficult for newcomers to Peel to achieve income parity. This may inform future research opportunities.
MEDIAN EMPLOYMENT INCOME IN PEEL BY EDUCATION AND YEARS SINCE LANDING -
BACHELOR DEGREE OR HIGHER (CONSTANT 2016 CAD)

YEARS SINCE LANDING


MEDIAN EMPLOYMENT INCOME IN PEEL BY EDUCATION AND YEARS SINCE LANDING -
COLLEGE/TRADES (CONSTANT 2016 CAD)

YEARS SINCE LANDING


Source: IMDB accessed at University of Western Ontario RDC.
3. When admission category - skilled-worker, family-class or refugees - is examined for its effect on immigrant income medians, skilled workers achieve income parity faster than other categories:

- Skilled workers who arrived to Canada in 2004 reach income parity with other immigrants by their fourth full year in Canada and with Canadian-born residents in Peel by their seventh full year in the country.

- Skilled workers who arrived in 2005 and 2006 take relatively longer – at least two years more – than those who arrived in 2004 to reach income parity with other immigrants or Canadian-born residents in Peel.

- Income medians for family-class and refugee newcomers are far lower than those of skilled workers. Neither family-class nor refugee newcomers who arrived in 2004, 2005 or 2006 reach income parity with other immigrants or Canadian-born residents within their first ten years in Canada.

Source: IMDB accessed at University of Western Ontario RDC.
MEDIAN EMPLOYMENT INCOME IN PEEL FOR FAMILY-CLASS NEWCOMERS BY YEARS SINCE LANDING
(CONSTANT 2016 CAD)
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MEDIAN EMPLOYMENT INCOME IN PEEL FOR REFUGEE NEWCOMERS BY YEARS SINCE LANDING (CONSTANT 2016 CAD)
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Source: IMDB accessed at University of Western Ontario RDC.
4. Knowledge of both official languages has a tremendous positive effect on immigrant income medians. These newcomers can reach income parity with other immigrants by their second full year in Canada and with their Canadian-born counterparts by their third full year in Canada. What’s more, the differences in income medians among those arriving in 2004, 2005 and 2006 are marginal compared to the differences we saw when comparing these cohorts by education level or admission class.

Source: IMDB accessed at University of Western Ontario RDC.
Examining immigrant unemployment rates

To determine the underlying causes for differences in total and employment income between Canadian-born residents and immigrants, one common approach is to examine their respective unemployment rates. Statistics Canada provides immigrant unemployment data online. The numbers for the Toronto census metropolitan area show that:

1. The unemployment rates for both the Canadian-born and immigrant population in the GTA are trending downwards. This is good news when you consider that the rates for newcomers (immigrants landed 5 years or less) going back to 2006 were even higher than those between 2014 and 2018 seen in the table below, fluctuating between 12.3 percent in 2006 and 19.2 percent in 2010.

2. Unemployment rates are persistently highest for newcomers.

3. Unemployment rates for immigrants tend to be lower the longer they are in Canada. In fact, immigrants who have been in Canada longer than 10 years tend to have lower unemployment rates than the Canadian-born population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMMIGRANT STATUS</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landed immigrants</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigrants, landed 5 or less years earlier</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigrants, landed 5-10 years earlier</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigrants, landed 10+ years earlier</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Born in Canada</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Statistics Canada
The role of under-employment

While a persistently higher unemployment rate among newcomers in the GTA may drive lower median incomes compared to the Canadian-born population in Peel, it may not tell the entire story. When one considers under-employment – the degree to which one is working in a job that requires less education than the individual possesses – new insights about newcomers and even established immigrants emerge.

The following table shows Canadian-born residents, newcomers (arrived 2011 to 2016) and established immigrants (who arrived before 2011) by highest education level achieved and the skill level of their employment in 2016:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>EDUCATION LEVEL ACHIEVED / SKILL LEVEL (NOC) OF EMPLOYMENT</th>
<th>A (MANAGER)</th>
<th>A (PROFESSIONAL)</th>
<th>B (COLLEGE)</th>
<th>C (HIGH SCHOOL, JOB-SPECIFIC)</th>
<th>D (ON-THE-JOB TRAINING)</th>
<th>DID NOT WORK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canadian-born</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>40.17%</td>
<td>73.40%</td>
<td>22.03%</td>
<td>15.03%</td>
<td>8.09%</td>
<td>19.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College</td>
<td>27.33%</td>
<td>16.83%</td>
<td>49.30%</td>
<td>51.47%</td>
<td>35.00%</td>
<td>41.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>19.96%</td>
<td>5.10%</td>
<td>22.10%</td>
<td>52.73%</td>
<td>56.35%</td>
<td>33.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than high school</td>
<td>3.55%</td>
<td>0.36%</td>
<td>4.93%</td>
<td>14.83%</td>
<td>23.44%</td>
<td>22.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Established immigrants (arrived before 2011)</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>56.73%</td>
<td>80.57%</td>
<td>38.58%</td>
<td>30.37%</td>
<td>21.65%</td>
<td>36.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College</td>
<td>22.74%</td>
<td>15.21%</td>
<td>37.56%</td>
<td>27.61%</td>
<td>21.16%</td>
<td>19.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High school</td>
<td>16.49%</td>
<td>3.94%</td>
<td>17.03%</td>
<td>30.57%</td>
<td>36.14%</td>
<td>27.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than high school</td>
<td>4.04%</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
<td>6.82%</td>
<td>11.32%</td>
<td>21.04%</td>
<td>16.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newcomers (arrived 2011-2016)</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>78.96%</td>
<td>91.62%</td>
<td>59.91%</td>
<td>51.15%</td>
<td>39.35%</td>
<td>55.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College</td>
<td>11.28%</td>
<td>6.04%</td>
<td>21.56%</td>
<td>21.76%</td>
<td>19.59%</td>
<td>14.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High school or less</td>
<td>9.76%</td>
<td>2.33%</td>
<td>18.53%</td>
<td>27.09%</td>
<td>41.07%</td>
<td>30.34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To read the table, begin with the national occupational classification (NOC) skill level, a system that distinguishes jobs by work and duties in ways that are typical to educational level required for those roles:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SKILL LEVEL A</th>
<th>SKILL LEVEL B</th>
<th>SKILL LEVEL C</th>
<th>SKILL LEVEL D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University education</td>
<td>College</td>
<td>High-school</td>
<td>On-the-job training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers and professionals, such as doctors, dentists and architects</td>
<td>Includes skilled trades, technical or apprentice training, such as chefs, plumbers and electricians</td>
<td>Including job-specific training, such as long-haul truck drivers, food and beverage servers</td>
<td>Includes fruit pickers, cleaning staff and oil-field workers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IMDB accessed at University of Western Ontario RDC

Source: Government of Canada, Find your NOC.
This indicates the skill level of the job that an individual holds, which suggests the education level required for the role. Then, match the skill level with an immigration status, whether Canadian-born, established immigrant or newcomer. Lastly, search for the highest education level achieved.

If the workforce was employed in jobs commensurate with the highest education level achieved, one would expect the lightly-coloured cells to feature the highest percentages (for example, college-educated individuals are employed in roles requiring college education). Throughout certain cells in the table, this is not the case. The darker-coloured cells indicate areas of concern regarding newcomers and established immigrants:

- There are high percentages of university-educated newcomers working in roles requiring less than university education. For example, almost 60 percent of those working in roles requiring only college education say they are newcomers with a university education. 51 percent in jobs requiring only high school say they, too, are newcomers with university-level education. Perhaps most concerning, 39 percent of those working in roles requiring on-the-job training say they are newcomers with university-level education.

- This under-employment trend carries over to established immigrants, too. Many established immigrants with university education are also working in roles that require less than university-level education; however, not to the same degree as newcomers.

- It is encouraging that about 79 percent of newcomers working as managers and almost 92 percent of newcomers working as professionals (skill level A) are employed in roles requiring university-level education. This indicates that these individuals are able to connect to employment commensurate with their education.

The persistent under-employment of newcomers, similarly to disproportionate rates of unemployment, contributes to fewer opportunities to earn incomes commensurate with either their education or at levels comparable to Canadian-born residents in Peel, resulting in lower median incomes.
In July 2018, the Peel-Halton Local Employment Planning Council (LEPC) reported in *The Costs and Impacts of Unemployment and Underemployment* that “underemployment is a significant concern among immigrant and young job seekers” (p. 19).

“Immigrants are a strong and growing part of the local high-skill labour market, especially in Peel... Increased awareness and recognition of the experience and credentials of internationally trained individuals has led to more opportunity for new Canadians in the local high-skill labour market. Employers are now more willing to provide opportunities to these individuals. However, these opportunities are often at the entry level, and often so despite the high-skill level and experience brought by the individual employee.”

From *The High Skill Labour Market in Peel and Halton* from Peel Halton Workforce Development Group (May 2017, p. 10)
3. Newcomers require more effective formal and informal settlement supports

Formal settlement services need to evolve to better address a wider spectrum of newcomer priorities

Prior to two IRCC-sponsored consultations in late 2018, settlement workers in Peel were polled in an online PNSG survey to measure newcomer and service-provider priorities. The survey drew 109 respondents, and more than 70 percent identified themselves as frontline settlement workers with almost 20 percent as managers (“both” and “neither” comprised the remainder).

Responses were intended to provide a quantifiable prioritization of needs to complement qualitative insights gained from the consultations. When asked about client needs that newcomers presented at frontline settlement service provision (from a list comprising two dozen options), respondents identified the following:

Top ten urgent newcomer needs ranked according to Peel settlement workers (2018)

1. Employment and job training support
2. Cultural adjustment
3. Ethno-cultural, language-specific services
4. Housing and shelter
5. School, education
6. Language and translation
7. Healthcare
8. Income support
9. Youth-specific programming
10. Transportation

Rank-ordered lists of priority newcomer needs have long been established in academic literature (e.g. Esses et al., 2010). PNSG’s online survey provided an opportunity to determine if there was anything unique about newcomers settling in Peel according to their settlement service providers. The list resulting from the survey is largely consistent with what we know already from existing research.

When settlement workers were asked about their own needs – professional development, information or resources – to better serve their newcomer clients, they identified the following priorities:

**Top-ranked areas Peel settlement workers say they need training, capacity-building, information and resources (2018)**

1. Mental health
2. Referral pathways to other community service providers
3. Settlement best practices
4. Crisis and trauma
5. Domestic violence
6. Newcomer data and trends
7. Self-care
8. Child protection
9. Generational client diversity (youth, seniors)
10. Gender identity/LGBTQ


By turning the lens onto themselves, settlement workers provided valuable insights about supporting newcomer clients at the frontline. While employment persistently features as newcomers’ top settlement priority, newcomers also present with other needs that settlement workers feel they are positioned to address, even as points of referral to other specialist service-providing organizations in the community.

This, too, was measured by the survey. When asked about areas to which settlement workers want to establish stronger referral-pathways, the following list emerged:
Top-ranked areas to which Peel settlement workers say referral pathways to other community services should be strengthened (2018)

1. Employment, training
2. Healthcare
3. Shelters, temporary/emergency, subsidized housing
4. Crisis, trauma-trained professionals
5. Mental health, addictions
6. Childcare
7. Youth
8. Income support
9. Abuse, assault
10. Older newcomer adults, seniors

Not surprisingly, employment featured as the top referral-pathway priority. However, areas that often intersect settlement and mental health – such as crisis, trauma, addictions and abuse – reveal potential community partnership opportunities as well as areas for focused settlement-worker support and capacity-building.

These quantitative insights were also reflected in the two IRCC-sponsored consultations coordinated by PNSG in late 2018. A large-scale, settlement-focused gathering in September confirmed that mental health and trauma continue to feature prominently in community planning discussions. It was also noted that more culturally appropriate mental health services are “critically needed” to support those experiencing mental health and addiction challenges, as well as overcome stigmatization that often prevents newcomers from seeking assistance.

A smaller, multi-stakeholder consultation also featured the need to address the intersectionality of settlement and non-settlement priorities presented by newcomer clients, with mental health, employment and crisis also figuring prominently in these discussions, as well.

Throughout these consultations, themes of partnership and collaboration were mentioned repeatedly - not to evolve frontline settlement providers to become additional deliverers of non-settlement services, but to work with specialist counterparts in the community to create effective client referral-pathways and determine where the work of a settlement worker ends and a non-settlement specialist’s begins, ensuring a continuous, coherent and supportive experience for newcomer clients across service sectors.
IRCC Planning Day – September 14, 2018 – consultation findings

1. A more holistic approach to supporting newcomers’ employment needs by engaging multiple stakeholder groups and offering specialized employment-related training to specific newcomer segments.

2. More culturally appropriate mental health supports for those experiencing mental health and addiction challenges while reducing stigma attached to these issues.

3. Increasing system-level service navigation and coordination as service providers are not fully aware of programs to which to refer clients.

4. Building and enhancing the capacity and knowledge of settlement service providers through professional development, training and collaborative connections to enhance frontline settlement services.

More than 110 participants attended the settlement-focused IRCC Planning Day consultation at the Living Arts Centre, with representation from 45 organizations, including IRCC-funded agencies across Peel and Halton, funders, education, workforce development, healthcare, as well as local Francophone and diversity stakeholders
Service providers can benefit from increased support to facilitate cross-sector partnerships, service navigation and client referrals, as well as the ability to measure impact.

A related but separate issue was the acknowledgment of limited capacity among settlement-sector workers to establish cross-sector partnerships and client referral-pathways. Not only did settlement professionals express that they had little experience and capacity to establish such partnerships, they acknowledged that learning, development, time and support from funders are required to achieve this. Stakeholders also expressed similar thoughts around funder expectations to measure impact, as well as the work of counterparts in other GTA regions that could serve as potential partnership opportunities or models.

Peel stakeholders saw the role of funders as identifying:

- Cross-sector partnership best practices, case studies and tools for Peel stakeholders to incorporate into their own partnership development
- Impact measurement methodologies valued by funders
- Non-settlement sectors that they (funders) felt were cross-sector partnership priority areas
- Partnership expectations and measures of success
IRCC Consultation – October 11, 2018 – consultation findings

1. More **support to cultivate cross-sector partnerships** - Stakeholders expressed that they would benefit from greater funder clarity on priority cross-sector collaborations to explore, access to best-practice models and the development of tools that guide partnership development.

2. More **support to measure impact** - Similarly, stakeholders shared that they have limited research and evaluation capacity and required support with funder-endorsed measurement frameworks and methodologies.

3. Stakeholders cited the need to design and offer more **programs aimed at specific priority segments** of the newcomer population, such as seniors and international students, among others, citing limited funding support and eligibility criteria.

4. Greater **cultivation and embedding of the newcomer voice** in service and program design has the potential to improve settlement planning.

Consultation participants included all levels of government, emerging settlement providers, education, children’s protection, settlement and service navigation stakeholders, as well as newcomers themselves, who were featured in a lived-experience panel.
While a majority of newcomers rely on informal supports to facilitate their integration into the community, many newcomers recommend the assistance of formal, government-sponsored settlement services

According to IRCC (2017), 39 percent of adult newcomers who arrived in 2015 used at least one government-funded settlement service by April 2017 (p. 3). This means that a majority of newcomers, nationally, are not supported by formal services that can enhance their settlement and integration.

PNSG commissioned Regional Diversity Roundtable (RDR) in 2018 to study how newcomers not accessing formal settlement services are integrating into Peel. Presumably, these newcomers are leveraging informal settlement supports, and the study identified the role that ethnic and religious institutions, cultural groups, as well as friends and family networks play in helping newcomers learn about local systems and the settlement process. While little literature exists in this respect, RDR examined the role of ethnic and online media in providing information to Peel newcomers and conducted an online survey and focus groups.

RDR found that:

- 80 percent agree that the majority of newcomers do not attend formal settlement services
- 53 percent believe that formal service organizations are not fully meeting a newcomer’s settlement-related needs because providers are “not informed and skilled sufficiently to understand [newcomers’] employment needs”
- Over 55 percent are familiar with newcomers accessing settlement supports in informal ways in the community
- Over 62 percent feel that newcomers are integrating faster today than in the past thanks to self-seeking abilities and social media support
- Many cited leveraging family and friend networks to gain employment; however, this employment is largely not aligned with their intended occupation
Despite these views, a majority of the study’s focus group participants said that they would recommend formal settlement services to newcomers “as some assistance can be received” and “because some help is better than no help” (p. 28).

“Overwhelmingly, participants have stated that successful settlement to them is concurrent with holding meaningful employment in their field of expertise”

Regional Diversity Roundtable, 2018

The study recommended that collaborations and partnerships be established between formal and informal settlement providers, supported by forums to share best practices and facilitate referrals between the two systems, as well as greater awareness-building of the informal settlement landscape among policy-makers, funders and service providers.
Conclusions and Recommendations

Immigration is a defining feature of Peel, and it runs deeply in the region’s DNA. As Canadian communities continue to welcome large and increasing numbers of newcomers, many will look to Peel to leverage its experience and example integrating immigrants socially and economically.

What’s clear is that newcomers are integral to Peel’s – and the GTA’s – well-being and prosperity. Yet, while newcomers are working hard to build strong community roots and find appropriate employment, they also experience persistent challenges catching up to their Canadian-born and established-immigrant neighbours despite high levels of education. What’s more, this may be getting harder to overcome at a time when Peel expects to settle more newcomers than before.

Our research points to three crucial ingredients for more effective settlement and integration of Peel newcomers:

A commitment to evidence-based policy and program design

- Service-providing organizations acknowledge that while they are doing the best they can to serve newcomers, they also recognize that they need support in specific areas to be more effective.

- A greater focus on newcomers’ employment needs, client referral-pathways to employment specialists and removing barriers to employment are required to accelerate newcomers’ economic integration – the key area underpinning successful immigrant integration overall.

- An increased emphasis on evidence can help focus service providers on effective partnerships and impact measurement strategies. This can be achieved by working with funders more closely to identify cross-sector partnership priorities, clarify funder expectations, promote relevant case studies, best practices and funder-accepted measurement frameworks that give service providers greater confidence and support in these areas.

- A deeper examination of the needs of specific newcomer segments is needed, such as seniors, youth, women, even refugee claimants and international students, not only to provide customized and more effective integration supports, but also strengthen pathways to permanent residency among groups not traditionally supported by IRCC programming.

- Promoting a respectful, evidence-based dialogue about immigration – and holding all stakeholders to a high standard in this respect – is an important foundation in pursuing these strategies, as well as acknowledging that a long-term lens is required to support the multi-year nature of successful newcomer integration.
A deeper appreciation for the complexity of newcomers’ settlement experiences

- Newcomers require strong investments in three basic pillars essential to successful settlement: access to good jobs; affordable housing; and health and social supports. To many newcomers in Peel, as the report suggests, all three are in short supply.

- Frontline settlement service providers face more than just questions about employment and housing from newcomers. Settlement professionals are also positioned to respond to a multiplicity and intersectionality of newcomer needs, including mental health, crisis and trauma as well as local healthcare. Enhancing our service environment for more effective system navigation and cross-sector referral pathways will ensure that service providers continue to specialize in their core areas of expertise while providing newcomers with access to a full spectrum of available community supports.

- Newcomers prefer the GTA, but they’re also mobile. This requires not just local – but also regional – stakeholders to think beyond traditional boundaries and champion greater inter-regional collaboration and information-sharing as a response to immigrant migration patterns and the assistance these individuals may require as they move from one GTA region to another.

Building bridges among formal and informal systems in the community to accelerate newcomer integration

- Ethno-cultural and faith institutions, friends and family networks, even social media, play an undeniably large role in newcomer settlement. However, the impact that these supports have, particularly on securing employment commensurate with one’s education, skills and work experience that is crucial to successful integration, could be enhanced if combined with the expertise of formal service providers.

- Formal service-providing organizations can establish better linkages with informal community-based supports not only to reflect more holistically how settlement is facilitated in Peel, but also identify new collaborative opportunities to make supportive referrals and create a more cohesive community by bringing disparate systems together.


“Shh” by Anran Guo
An immigrant from China who settled in Peel in 2014, Anran created “Shh,” an installation of shredded newsprint designed to block a hallway, requiring visitors to walk through it, changing the installation’s shape and often attaching itself onto visitors. The shredding of newsprint represents unheard voices, and the installation serves as a metaphor for one’s feelings of marginalized interactions in a new community.